30. Tympanostomy tubes compared to no surgery for recurrent acute otitis media

Patient or population: Children aged 0 to 3 years with recurrent acute otitis media (rAOM).

Setting: Hospital.

Intervention: Tympanostomy tubes (TTs)

Comparison: No surgery.

Outcome № of participants	Relative effect (95% CI)	Anticipated absolute effects (95% CI)			Quality	What happens
(studies)		Without Tympanostomy tubes	With Tympanostomy tubes	Difference		
Hearing loss - Difference in mean decibel hearing. Ears with TTs compared to contralateral ears used as controls assessed with: Pure tone audiometry follow up: median 2 years No of participants: 44 (1 RCT) 1.a	non-TT ears; better At 12 months post i	T insertion a small but si hearing in TT ears (-3.7 nsertion there is no sign all but significant differer]).	dB [-7 to 0 dB]).	LOM p'c	In children with rAOM receiving TTs compared to no surgery there is possibly an improvement in hearing at 6 months, which is not sustained at 12 months follow-up. NNT not evaluable	
Incident rate of AOM episodes/child/year assessed with: parental report + pneumatic otoscopy +/- tympanometry +/- otomicroscopy +/- otorrhea. follow up: range 6 to 12 months № of participants: 385 (3 RCTs) ^{2,3,4,d}		The mean incident rate of AOM episodes/child/year was 1.29 episodes/patient/yea r °	-	rate ratio 0.8 episodes/patient/yea r fewer (0.45 more to 1.43 more)	VERY LOW b.f.g	In children with rAOM receiving TTs compared to no surgery there are possibly fewer AOM episodes/child/year.
Proportion of children otitis free follow up: range 6 months to 2 years № of participants: 511 (5 RCTs) 2.3.4.5.h	RR 1.81 (1.44 to 2.27)	28.6%	51.8% (41.2 to 65.0)	23.2% more (12.6 more to 36.4 more)	LOW bg	Children with rAOM receiving TTs compared to no surgery are possibly more likely to remain free of otitis media at 6-24 months follow-up. NNT ~4

30. Tympanostomy tubes compared to no surgery for recurrent acute otitis media

Patient or population: Children aged 0 to 3 years with recurrent acute otitis media (rAOM).

Setting: Hospital.

Intervention: Tympanostomy tubes (TTs)

Comparison: No surgery.

Outcome № of participants (studies)	Relative effect (95% CI)	Anticipated absolute effects (95% CI)			Quality	What happens
		Without Tympanostomy tubes	With Tympanostomy tubes	Difference		
Change in Quality of Life from baseline assessed with: QOL- OM-6 tool follow up: range 4 to 12 months № of participants: 77 (1 RCT) ^{6,1}	increase in QOL for	QOL questionnaire at base line, 4 months and 12 months post-surgery showed se in QOL for both TTs (n=42) and no surgery (n=35) groups, with no difference en groups in mean improvement.				In children with rAOM treated with TTs compared to no surgery there is possibly no difference in QOL scores at 4-12 months follow-up.

^{*}The risk in the intervention group (and its 95% confidence interval) is based on the assumed risk in the comparison group and the relative effect of the intervention (and its 95% CI).

CI: Confidence interval; RR: Risk ratio; NS: Not significant; NNT: Number needed to treat; NNH: Number needed to harm; MD: Mean difference

GRADE Working Group grades of evidence

High quality: We are very confident that the true effect lies close to that of the estimate of the effect

Moderate quality: We are moderately confident in the effect estimate: The true effect is likely to be close to the estimate of the effect, but there is a possibility that it is substantially different

Low quality: Our confidence in the effect estimate is limited: The true effect may be substantially different from the estimate of the effect

Very low quality: We have very little confidence in the effect estimate: The true effect is likely to be substantially different from the estimate of effect

Explanations

- a. Study: Le 1991
- b. Risk of Bias: Selection and performance bias
- c. Imprecision: Estimate of effect covers harm and benefit at different time points
- d. Studies taken from: (1) Cochrane Review: McDonald 2008 updated 2011(Gebhart 1981), (2) Kujala 2012, (3) Gonzales 1986
- e. Mean incident rate calculated with an unweighted mean.
- f. Inconsistency: High heterogeneity
- g. Imprecision: Optimal information size not reached / small study
- h. Studies taken from: (1) Cochrane Review: McDonald 2008 updated 2011(Gebhart 1981 El Sayed 1996), (2) Kujala 2012, (3) Casselbrant 1992, (4) Gonzales 1986
- i. Study: Kujala 2014
- j. Risk of Bias: Attrition bias, raw QOL data not available

References

- 1. Le CT, Freeman DW, Fireman BH. Evaluation of ventilating tubes and myringotomy in the treatment of recurrent or persistent otitis media. The Pediatric infectious disease journal. 1991;10(1):2-11. Epub 1991/01/01. PubMed PMID: 2003051.
- 2. Gonzalez C, Arnold JE, Woody EA, Erhardt JB, Pratt SR, Getts A, et al. Prevention of recurrent acute otitis media: chemoprophylaxis versus tympanostomy tubes. The Laryngoscope. 1986;96(12):1330-4. Epub 1986/12/01. PubMed PMID: 3537596.

- 3. Kujala T, Alho OP, Luotonen J, Kristo A, Uhari M, Renko M, et al. Tympanostomy with and without adenoidectomy for the prevention of recurrences of acute otitis media: a randomized controlled trial. The Pediatric infectious disease journal. 2012;31(6):565-9. Epub 2012/04/03. doi: 10.1097/INF.0b013e318255ddde. PubMed PMID: 22466327.
- 4. McDonald S, Langton Hewer CD, Nunez DA. Grommets (ventilation tubes) for recurrent acute otitis media in children. The Cochrane database of systematic reviews. 2008(4):Cd004741. Epub 2008/10/10. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD004741.pub2. PubMed PMID: 18843668.
- 5. Casselbrant ML, Kaleida PH, Rockette HE, Paradise JL, Bluestone CD, Kurs-Lasky M, et al. Efficacy of antimicrobial prophylaxis and of tympanostomy tube insertion for prevention of recurrent acute otitis media: results of a randomized clinical trial. The Pediatric infectious disease journal. 1992;11(4):278-86. Epub 1992/04/01. PubMed PMID: 1565551.
- 6. Kujala T, Alho OP, Kristo A, Uhari M, Renko M, Pokka T, et al. Quality of life after surgery for recurrent otitis media in a randomized controlled trial. The Pediatric infectious disease journal. 2014;33(7):715-9. Epub 2014/01/22. doi: 10.1097/inf.000000000000265. PubMed PMID: 24445832.