#### Summary of findings:

# 5. Relative effect for association: Parental counselling to restrict pacifier/dummy use compared to unrestricted pacifier/dummy use for prevention of acute otitis media

Patient or population: Children aged 0 to 7.24 years of age.

Setting: Primary health care / Day-care centres / Community.

Intervention: Parental counselling to restrict pacifier/dummy use (limit to moments of falling asleep after 6 months and discontinue use after 10 months of age). Single counselling session was provided.

Comparison: Unrestricted pacifier/dummy use.

| Outcome<br>№ of participants<br>(studies)   | Relative effect<br>(95% Cl)                 | Anticipated absolute effects (95% CI)   |  |  | Quality      | What happens  |
|---|---|---|--|--|--------------|---|
|   |   | Without Parental<br>counselling to<br>restrict<br>pacifier/dummy<br>use   | With Parental<br>counselling to<br>restrict<br>pacifier/dummy<br>use | Difference   |              |   |
| AOM per person-<br>months at risk<br>assessed with: parental<br>report<br>follow up: median 4.6<br>months<br>№ of participants: 484<br>(1 RCT) <sup>1,a</sup>   | 29% lower occurrence<br>occurrence of AOM/F | Finland found that with parental counselling against pacifier use there was a ver occurrence of AOM/PMR in the intervention group. In the total series the nce of AOM/PMR was 33% higher in the group of children who used pacifier pusly than in those not using one or using it when falling asleep. <sup>b</sup> |  |  |              | In children who have restricted pacifier use<br>compared to unrestricted pacifier use there is<br>possibly less AOM per person months at risk.<br>NNT Not able to be calculated (raw data not<br>available) |
| Risk of rAOM<br>(>3 episodes of AOM)<br>assessed with:<br>physician diagnosed<br>AOM<br>follow up: range 10<br>months to 5.6 years<br>№ of participants: 884<br>(2 observational<br>studies) <sup>2.3,f</sup> | <b>RR 0.49</b> (0.36 to 0.68)               | 21.6%   | <b>10.6%</b><br>(7.8 to 14.7)  | <b>11.0% fewer</b><br>(13.8 fewer to 6.9<br>fewer) | VERY LOW ghj | In children who have restricted pacifier use<br>compared to no pacifier use there are<br>possibly fewer with rAOM at up to ~5 years<br>follow-up.   |

\*The risk in the intervention group (and its 95% confidence interval) is based on the assumed risk in the comparison group and the relative effect of the intervention (and its 95% CI).

CI: Confidence interval; RR: Risk ratio; NS: Not significant; NNT: Number needed to treat; NNH: Number needed to harm; MD: Mean difference

#### GRADE Working Group grades of evidence

High quality: We are very confident that the true effect lies close to that of the estimate of the effect

Moderate quality: We are moderately confident in the effect estimate: The true effect is likely to be close to the estimate of the effect, but there is a possibility that it is substantially different

Low quality: Our confidence in the effect estimate is limited: The true effect may be substantially different from the estimate of the effect

Very low quality: We have very little confidence in the effect estimate: The true effect is likely to be substantially different from the estimate of effect

## **Explanations**

a. Study: Niemela 2000

b. Raw data not reported.

c. Risk of Bias: Nature of intervention makes blinding difficult.

d. Indirectness: Diagnosis of AOM was not made according predefined criteria or by trained staff but during routine visits to health care centres or private practices.

e. Imprecision: Single, small study

f. Studies: Rovers 2008 and Niemela 1995

g. Inconsistency: Pacificer use only measured at baseline in Rovers 2008. Diagnosis of AOM made by different physicians with differing pre-defined criteria. Short term

follow-up in Niemela 1995 (median 10 months).

h. Imprecision: Optimal information size not reached.

i. Possible confounding: All children in Niemela 1995 attended daycare centres - not adjusted.

### References

1. Niemela M, Pihakari O, Pokka T, Uhari M. Pacifier as a risk factor for acute otitis media: A randomized, controlled trial of parental counseling. Pediatrics. 2000;106(3):483-8. Epub 2000/09/02. PubMed PMID: 10969091.

2. Niemela M, Uhari M, Mottonen M. A pacifier increases the risk of recurrent acute otitis media in children in day care centers. Pediatrics. 1995;96(5 Pt 1):884-8. Epub 1995/11/01. PubMed PMID: 7478830.

 Rovers MM, Numans ME, Langenbach E, Grobbee DE, Verheij TJ, Schilder AG. Is pacifier use a risk factor for acute otitis media? A dynamic cohort study. Family practice. 2008;25(4):233-6. Epub 2008/06/20. doi: 10.1093/fampra/cmn030. PubMed PMID: 18562333.